

App F IX 4

Previous References

ML 228-33
sls 1-8

Letter References

ml 99-38-sls 1,2

Preserve

1934-35
(ml)

File No 249-34

Serial Nos 748

FREE LIT

D-237

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 2

Part B for April 36

SUBJECT

Bifurcation of Srungapatam
Municipality

To The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore Dt
No L 7762 D 9-4-36

WD 03607-GPB-22 530-2-8 35

~~Copy to~~
States that fact are not in favour of me
Proposals for reasons given

The Dr of Health
No L 7768 D 9-4-36

Copy of sl & term of information

OK
15/4/36

Bifurcation of Serinapatam Santhi
4/2/35

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No

249 of 1934-35 [App F III-2]

Serial
No

From

7

The Deputy Commr, Mysore Dist
no D3 26/35-36 dated 5/3/36

Submits proposals for the
separation of Ganjam from
Serinapatam Santhi and
forming it into a new municipality

Kind reference is made to
Dwards memo in the subject (Peg 1)
and the extract from the minutes of the
Santhi Council (Peg 7) in
regard to the bifurcation of the
Serinapatam Santhi

The D.C. has forwarded
detailed proposals on the subject,
with draft notes, ^{with maps} the opinions of
the Serinapatam Santhi Council and the
President and the Budget estimates of the

two municipal C's (Serinapatam Santhi
as ^{it would be} when separated and has furnished his
own opinion. He has finally stated that
things may be left as they are and
that particular attention may
be devoted to have a system of

Refurcation of Serinjapattam 20/1/36

ml File No

223

of 1935-36

General and Revenue
Secretariat

protected water supply and the improvement
of the village of ^{Gangam} by the allotment of
funds from out of the Town Improvement
grants

for 223
19/1/36

3 The papers taken on the subject
which contain the Devans order may be
put up for reference. The Second Member
has also made some observations in the matter
in his notes of inspection of the town recently. An
extract of the notes may be put up.

4 The file relating to the formation of a
Municipal Council for the Railway Quarters in Mysore
may also be put up.

5A This file is not easily traceable in the
of attempts made. (vide flap I below)

B An extract is already in the file (Flap 7)

C ml file 228-33-151 is put up

for 223
23/1/36
13
The letter of the Dycom, Mysore, is sent for perusal.
The Dycom generally agrees with
with the proposals of the Municipal President
Serinjapattam - which are a compromise between
the divergent views of the representatives of
Serinjapattam and Gangam, who formed the

A

B

C

20/3

Flap on
2215

Bifurcation of Srirangapatam Municipality

General and Revenue
Secretariat

File No

249 of 1935-36

[App F III-2]

Serial
No

Special Committee appointed to consider the question of bifurcation and formulate proposals. The Budget estimates as framed by the President for the two separate Mesties proposed ^{for 1936-37} stand as follows -

Receipts - Expenditure -

Srirangapatam Town } Rs 13,920 Rs 13,958
Mesties

Gangam Mesties } Rs 8915 Rs 9,694

The above figures do not take account of the ^{closing} balance ^{when made} at the credit of the Mesties at the end of the current year. The proposal is to divide that also in the proportion of 60:40.

8 The Dy Commr, however, thinks that matters maybe left as they are, and states that as the clamour for bifurcation is mainly to ^{secure} ~~give~~ undivided attention to the improvement of Gangam and its water supply, the object maybe gained by constituting a special committee for Gangam and allotting funds exclusively for its sanitary improvements. What he means is perhaps the constitution of a Committee like the Committee of the Mysore City Mesties constituted for the Railway colony vide G.O. No. 21606-9- Mesties 33-8 of 1934.

9 Reference is made to the ^{copy of the} ~~the~~ Devans' minutes of 28.12.35 and the extract of the II Member's inspection notes on the subject placed below.

10 It is considered that, in view of the poor finances of the Mesties as disclosed by the figures referred to above

Bifurcation of Sempitany San - MZ

File No

249

of 193 5-36

General and Revenue
Secretariat

the ~~the~~ bifurcation of the MZ is not necessary for the present and that ^{as a first step} the Dycom's proposal for the formation of a special committee for Ganyam with separate funds for its improvement may be accepted, proposals in this behalf may be called for from the Dycom along with a resolution of the MZ. As regards water supply to Ganyam the committee has been asked to the II Member in connection with the suggestion made by him during his inspection of the town records.

For order

Duty

27/3

6142
27/13


11 The proposals are un calculated to satisfy neither Sempitany nor Ganyam. Ganyam will have to start with a deficit which will only mean that they will not be able to get the services they are getting now. Partial bifurcation will not satisfy either and the funds provided will be a fruitful source of friction and confusion.

12 The scheme of the Committee suggested by the or may perhaps be tried.

3 A convention may perhaps be set up of having the Vice President elect from the members of Sempitany in one term and in the next from Ganyam.

Secretary

28 3 36




Re Repercussions of Serungapalem muni⁵

General & Revenue Secretariat

File No

of 1936-35

Serial
No



paragraph 11 & 12 — I agree
with the Dewar

What is really needed is to
expedite the water supply scheme of
Gangam and to earmark separate amounts
for that place, out of any town improvement
grants which may be given to the municipal
If these things are done it will not be
necessary to bifurcate the municipality

(Dewan)

1/4/36

1/4/36

1/4/36

Submitted with a dft. reply
for approval

for m
7/4/36

(Dewan)

1/4

1/4

20

The Deputy Commr. Mysore dist
no 2 7767 dt 9. 4. 36

Returns the records stating
that the Govt are not in favor of
the proposals for reasons given
to the Director of Health

no 2 7768 dt 9. 4. 36

For a copy of the 8th annual
measures act

NOTE—Cont Sheet

B for 1/4/36

Routine Notes

To be used only for notes requisitions etc not intended for permanent record]

memo on the petition - is
for signature

for
6/1/36

Issue

M/41

Don't 5017. 2 64.36

Bus A
for
7/1/36

G R.S 35]

Form D

GOVERNMENT OF H H THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE
General and Revenue Secretariat

()


Dated the 190

Order on the petition, dated of

Subject

The petitioner is informed (through the
that the Government of His Highness the Maharaja will not interfere with the
order of

The documents which accompanied the petition are returned herewith



924
24/12/35

N Madhava Rao Esq BA BL

Second Member of the Executive Council
Bangalore

In pursuance of the resolution of the Municipal Council Seringapatam dated 19-12-1935, we the undersigned Municipal Councillors of Ganjam Division humbly place this memorial in regard to the question of Bifurcation of Ganjam from Seringapatam Municipality

At the outset we wish to emphasize the fact that Ganjam with its dilapidated condition, and the very low economic level of its inhabitants is contributing a net average profit of Rs 2,000-0-0 per annum to the Seringapatam Municipality. Almost till the year 1925 Ganjam Division since its amalgamation with Seringapatam Municipality has been contributing 75 per cent of the net income of the Municipality. This was possible because of the magnificent and prosperous nature of its trade. It was due to the inaction of the Seringapatam Municipality in regard to the question of supply of pure drinking water that many of Ganjam's wealthy and prosperous inhabitants were forced to leave the place and seek their home in more convenient places. Hence the responsibility for the decay of Ganjam entirely rests upon the partial treatment accorded to it by the Municipal Council. Even though Ganjam was represented in the Council the illiterate representatives of Ganjam could not make their voices heard in the Council.

The report of the President of the Municipal Council in regard to the question of Bifurcation came to our notice only on 19-12-1935. It is not only partial but betrays a sad lack of correct application of past and present position of Ganjam in relation to the Municipality.

The President has arbitrarily fixed the boundary by drawing an imaginary straight line taking the road of the Daria-Dowlat as reasonable. To all disinterested observers the Bangalore - Mysore Road offers a reasonable basis as a line of demarcation. The report of the President cuts off nearly a furlong from the due share of Ganjam. One very curious argument is put forward by the President to support his arbitrary line of demarcation. He says that such important buildings ^{as} such Daria Dowlat, Gumbuz and Scott's Bungalow fall to Ganjam's share, and Seringapatam gets the Local Fund Dispensary, the Middle School and the Electric Colony. This argument is of no avail in view of the fact the very principle of Bifurcation is the division of the gross Municipal Revenues. As the sources of Municipal Revenue such as Octroi, Toll, Pilgrim-Tax are to be managed by a common agency we cannot understand the reasonableness of fixing the boundary by allotting places of interest to one place or other. Hence we, the undersigned humbly suggest that the portion east of Bangalore - Mysore Road must belong to Ganjam and the west of that Road must belong to Seringapatam. Justice, fairplay and equity require this.

In regard to the allocation of the dividable income of the Municipality the report of the president is entirely defective and onesided. Seringapatam Municipality as has already been observed is responsible for the present sad state of Ganjam. To add to that she is in a better position to-day by having successfully consumed yearly town improvement grants given to the Municipality by the Government, and by having protected water supply. It is curious to note, that the President while acknowledging the sad state of Ganjam recommends for the division of Municipal

Municipal Revenue managed by common agency in the ratio of 60 to 40, the major portion being given to Seringapatam Ganjam needs vigilant handling of her finances to come on a par with the already developed Seringapatam To cut at the root of the sources of income to Ganjam Municipality while acknowledging the necessity for more financial aid to that place is a contradiction in terms To make amends for the past sins the Seringapatam Municipality has done to Ganjam naturally a greater share ~~of the~~ of the revenue must be given to Ganjam Justice requires atleast that the Municipal Revenue managed by the common agency must be equally apportioned between the two The President puts forward one very interesting argument for his recommending the allocation of revenue in the above proportion He takes as his basis the present Mohatarfa income of the two places and quietly glides over one very salient fact It must be noted here that in this calculation the houses and population of Wellesley Bridge and Paschimavahini are - unjustifiably included with that of Seringapatam, though they are miles distant from Seringapatam If we exclude the two places from the census of Seringapatam it will ~~be~~ not be in any way better either in point of view of population or the number of houses or Mohatarfa Revenue to Ganjam so the Argument of the President that the Mohatarfa yield of Ganjam is very low is unsound

Hence We pray that in view of the above memorial, the Government may be pleased to make the necessary changes in the President's note and order Bifurcation We annex herewith a detailed statement of the Income and Expenditure of the respective places

K Narayan Rao
H. Rayappa
M. S. Rao
D. S. Rao
M. S. Rao

12

I N C O M E

Ganjam	1931-32	1932-33	1933-34	Remarks
Mohatarfa	Rs 1803-4-0	2044-12-0	2527--5-4	
Octroi	Rs 1500-0-0	1500--0-0	1500--0-0	
Toll			1184-13-0	
Total income from Ganjam is Rs 5212-2-4	the total toll of revenue of the Municipality is Rs 3022-11-6 But of which the Gumbuz Gate alone provides Rs 1184-13-0			Three kattes are included in this Wellesley Bridge, Karighatta and Paschimavahini There is also levy of tax on sheep by virtue of a Government Order The total income of Octroi is Rs 5379-13-4, Rs 5002-12-4, for the years 1932-33, 1933-34 respectively Half of this may reasonably be taken as the Octroi revenue of Ganjam

E X P E N D I T U R E

Contribution for Octroi and toll maintenance	Rs	462--0-0
Sweepers and collection charges for 1933-34	Rs	1860--0-0
Lighting	Rs	1147--8-0
Public Works		0--0-0
Total	Rs	3469--0-0
Net Income of the Ganjam for 1933-34 is	Rs	1742-10-4

SERINGAPATAM INCLUDING WELLESLEY BRIDGE AND PASCHIMAVAHINI

I N C O M E

	1931-32	1932-33	1933-34
Mohatarfa	Rs 2565-0-0	2857-8-0	3568-6-8
Octroi	Rs 1500-0-0	1500-0-0	1500-0-0
Toll			(vide remarks above) 2000-0-0
Total income		Rs	7068-6-8

E X P E N D I T U R E

	1933-34
Sweepers and collection charges	Rs 1836--0-0
Public works	Rs 1016--7-4
Lighting	Rs 1575--0-0
Contribution to Octroi and Toll maintenance	Rs 462--0-0
Total	Rs 4889--7-4
Net income for 33-34 is	Rs 2178-15-4

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated Bangalore 18th December 1935

No

24727
me 6-33-110

From

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Development Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner Mysore District

Sir

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No D 3 3813/34-35 dated the 18th November 1935 submitting a scheme of water supply to Sanjar Town, I am directed to state that the proposal to waive the establishment, tools and other charges cannot be sanctioned but that they will be levied at the reduced rate of 12½ per cent in accordance with Government order No L 214-62/Ml 67-35-2 dated 18th September 1935. As regards the question of giving a Government grant of half the cost of the work as proposed by you, I am to state that the proposal may be renewed in the early part of the next official year, as no money is available during the current year out of the provision made in the State Budget for improvement of water supply in Towns, which has been fully distributed.

2 In the meantime, I am to request that the proposals called for in this office letter No L 8807/Ml 249-34-2 dated 27/28th June 1934 for the bifurcation of the Seringapatam Town Municipality may be kindly expedited.

3. The file of records received with your letter under reply is herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd M Venkatanaranappa
for Secretary to Government,
Development Department

Memo No *Lw-2* Dated, Bangalore, the 18th December 1935
foregoing *79*

Copy of the ~~above~~ is forwarded with compliments to the
Director of Health in Mysore for information

By order.

T. S. Srinivasan

Am
For Secretary to Government
Development Department

ma

Extract from the Minutes of the 11th Mr. of
Council in the Mysore Dist in January 1926

X X X X X
The proposal to bifurcate the ⁱⁿ
and to constitute a separate municipal body
for Ganjam was discussed with the ^{Mr.}
Councillors. The details have been worked out
by the local officers and the Deputy Commr.
is shortly forwarding his scheme. But from
the information that was available to me,
did not appear that the proposed bifurcation
was really necessary. The possibility of
providing separate funds under works &
improvement grants for Ganjam should be
examined before the extreme step of
dividing the municipality into two
is seriously thought of.

X X X X X X X

Extract from the Dewan's minute no 18
704 dated 23 12 35

Gaujam.

When is this town to have a
municipality of its own? Possit the
DC sent in his proposals for separating
it from Patam? The matter has
been pending a very long time
x x x x

sub m m
28/1/35

The file is being separately tab.
The Dy comm has been received
x x x x x

sub
m m
28/1/35

Dewan)

sub m m
24/1/35

me 249-34-

No D3 26/35-36
Enc One file & 2 maps

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MYSORE DISTRICT

Dated Mysore 13th March 1936

FROM

B T KESAVAIENGAR Esq B A
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
MYSORE DISTRICT

To

M VENKATANARANAPPA, Esq , B A ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Development Department, B a n g a l o r e

SIR

Separation of Ganjam from Seringapatam Town
Municipality and forming it into a Minor
Municipality

With reference to your letter No L 8807 M 1 249-34-2

dated 27/28th June 1935, calling for proposals re the above,

I have the honour to forward, herewith, correspondence ending

with report No 194/35-36 dated 29th October 1935, from the

President of Seringapatam Town Municipal Council and to state

that draft notifications, in English and Kannada, separating

Ganjam and constituting the same into a Minor Municipality

have been sent, herewith, for favour of orders

The original notifications, constituting Seringapatam, Ganjam, Paschimavahini and Welleslev Bridge area into a Municipality during the year 1908 and also the original and revised maps, showing the boundaries of the Municipalities are also enclosed for reference It is reported that even after bifurcation, Seringapatam Municipality would have an income of over Rs 10,000/-, besides containing more than 3,000 population and it may continue as a Town Municipality even after bifurcation though the population of Ganjam Town is reported to be 2805, which is slightly below the prescribed minimum viz , 3000 for a minor Municipality under Section 4(1) of Minor Municipal Regulation of 1933, the expected income is reported to be more than Rs 9,000/- The deficiency of population could be condoned and Ganjam separated into a Minor Municipality if the proposal for bifurcation is accepted

The

The subject of bifurcation was placed before the Council at a meeting held on 18th July 1935. The Municipal Council resolved to form a Sub Committee to discuss and formulate proposals in the matter. Messrs S Deviah and M Subbiah, Members of Seringapatam Division and Messrs K Narahari Rao and Syed Ahmed Saheb, Members of Ganjam Division, were elected to the Sub Committee, with Mr S Deviah, as Chairman. This Committee met on 23rd July 1935, but the members held divergent views on the subject and they have accordingly furnished individual reports, expressing their opinion in the matter. These reports are enclosed herein, for favour of perusal.

The members from Ganjam Division want that the Fort should form the boundary of Seringapatam, while those of Seringapatam urge that this would be unworkable both on geographical and administrative grounds, as by this proposal, Paschimavahini and Wellesley Bridge area, which are remote from Ganjam would have to be attached to Ganjam. In this connection, the memorial of the Municipal Councillors of Ganjam Division, submitted to Government is also enclosed for favour of perusal. They have stated in the memorial that the portion, east of Bangalore-Mysore road may be included to Ganjam Municipal limits and the west of the road to Seringapatam town. The President has submitted his proposals after a careful consideration of the views put forward by the members of the Sub Committee, without placing the subject for discussion before the Municipal Council. In a controversial matter of this nature, there does not seem to be any use in placing the matter again before the Municipal Council. He has proposed that the Middle School, Local Fund Dispensary, Wellesley Bridge area and Paschimavahini may be included to Seringapatam town Municipal limits, and the Darisabagh, the Scott's Bungalow and the Gumbuz, being included in the Ganjam Municipal limits.

As the proposal of the President seems to be proper and equitable for both the Municipalities, I agree with his views,
regarding

regarding the boundaries fixed between the two Municipalities
As regards, the apportionment of revenue under different heads,
I beg to submit as follows

(1) Octroi, Toll and Pilgrim tax -

The members of Seringapatam request for separate levy of Octroi and toll for the two Municipalities and want the entire pilgrim tax for Seringapatam alone, while the members of Ganjam wish to have half of the proceeds of pilgrim tax to Ganjam. But they have not said anything about the octroi and toll revenue in the memorial, submitted by Ganjam Members, they request that the Municipal revenue, managed by common agency, may be equally divided between the two Ganjam, which was once a populous and important town, ^{has} in recent years ^{been} showing signs of decline owing to several causes. Much attention has to be devoted for its improvement particularly in the matter of water supply and sanitation. It is, therefore, necessary to safeguard its revenue for at least some years to come. Though it is possible to make separate arrangements for the collection of octroi and toll, yet it is better to collect them by a common agency for sometime to come. The octroi revenue has since been affected to some extent by the abolition of octroi duty on animals, passing through Municipal limits direct to other places (vide Development Secretary's letter No 4928 M1 62-35-19 dated 3rd January 1936). In view of the introduction of Road Traffic and Taxes Regulation of 1936, with effect from the 1st January 1936, the income under toll has also fallen, as all licensed Motor vehicles are exempted from Municipal tolls. Under these circumstances, it is desirable to collect these two items of revenue by a common agency. As suggested by the President, the revenue under toll and octroi may be proportionately assigned for Seringapatam and Ganjam Municipalities in the ratio of 60 and 40 per cent respectively. The same establishment, ~~as~~ at present, may continue, the cost being met by the two Municipalities in the ~~same~~ proportion of their receipts.

With

With regard to pilgrim tax, the Seringapatam members to repudiate all claims of Ganjam, on the ground that it was once an ancient capital of Mysore and a place of pilgrimage and that the tax, is being levied to augment the slender resources of Seringapatam town and to meet the heavy obligatory items of expenditure, such as water supply, lighting, drainage and other works, while the Ganjam members want half of the proceeds of pilgrim tax. The contention of Seringapatam members, with regard to pilgrim tax, cannot be supported. Ganjam is equally an important pilgrim centre, both from historical and religious points of view. Variabagh and Gumbuz, which are proposed to be included in Ganjam, are attracted by many distinguished visitors, as ancient monuments. Gumbuz is also a place of pilgrimage for Mohamedans. Seringapatam is also visited by many Hindus and Mohamedans on account of Sri Ranganathaswami temple and Masjid. In this view, I am of opinion that both these places are equally important and therefore, the revenue under pilgrim tax may be apportioned in the ratio of 60 and 40 per cent, as in the case of octroi and toll revenue.

(2) Water tax -

This is not levied at present, as the rules are under the consideration of the Council.

The cost of Seringapatam Water Supply Scheme was Rs 32,000/-, of which, a sum of Rs 12,000/- was contributed by Government and the balance of Rs 20,000/- advanced as a loan to the Municipality as per Government Order No L 6249-5/M 133-33-6 dated 14th February 1934. The loan which carries interest of $5\frac{1}{2}$ per cent is repayable in 12 annual instalments. The Municipal Council had already paid one instalment amounting to Rs 2320-9-7 out of the donation paid by Mr H Ramiah, for water supply to Seringapatam, a sum of Rs 3500/- has already been adjusted towards the loan and the balance of Rs 5,500/- is kept in the shape

*part of the
Ganjam is
fully paid*

shape of Fixed Deposits If the amount, kept in Fixed Deposits, is also adjusted towards the loan, then the Seringapatam Municipal Council will have to pay roughly a sum of Rs 9000/-

The Ganjam Water Works Scheme is estimated to cost Rs 25,000/- This includes, a sum of Rs 3,813/- being the establishment and other charges at 18 per cent It is also proposed that the installation of a pressure fitter, which is estimated to cost Rs 3759/- may be deferred for the present The Municipal Council have requested to move Government to kindly waive the entire cost of Establishment and other charges, as they have done in the case of Chennapatna and other Municipalities If this request, is favourably considered, then the cost of the Scheme comes to Rs 17,428/- or roughly Rs 17,500/- A recommendation was submitted to Government to sanction a grant of Rs 8750/- from State funds, and a loan of Rs 9000/- at 5½ per cent to be repayable in 12 years It was ordered by Government that the proposal of Ganjam Water Supply may be renewed in the early part of next official year If a loan of Rs 9000/- is sanctioned to Ganjam Water Supply next year, then the Municipality will have to pay a loan of Rs 18,000/- including Seringapatam Water Supply The income derived from pilgrim tax, toll and water rate, which should be levied, will be earmarked for the loan The existing establishment is reported to be quite sufficient, provided they work for a few hours extra daily The annual maintenance charges is reported to be Rs 3,000/- which can be met by both the Municipalities in the proportion of 60 and 40 per cent of the total cost

(3) Mohatarfa and other Miscellaneous receipts -

These collections may be worked separately

As regards, other proposals regarding the office establishment, Mohatarfa and conservancy and sanitation, I agree with the views of the President The present Chief Officer may look after the work of both the Municipalities The closing balance may be apportioned in the same ratio of 60 and 40 per cent

I may

I may also add here that the clamour for bifurcation is mainly to give undivided attention and to allot more funds for the general improvement of Ganjam town and its water supply. As regards, the latter, the proposals will be renewed next year as ordered by Government. Regarding the former, the object may be gained by constituting a special Committee for Ganjam and allotting funds exclusively for sanitary improvements. There is no denial that the improvement to Ganjam and Seringapatam should go side by side. A progress report may be obtained every month, as to the improvements effected in Ganjam and every endeavour made to successfully tackle the questions, a suitable grant being made from town Improvement Grants every year exclusively to Ganjam.

After a careful consideration of all the circumstances of the case, I am inclined to the view that things may be left, as they are, since ^{what} the residents of Ganjam are particularly anxious about are (1) a protected system of water supply and (2) improvement of their village. As regards, the first question, proposals were submitted to Government in this office letter No D3 3812/34-35 dated 18th November 1935, and orders have been received in your letter No L 4727 M1 6-33-110 dated 18/19th December 1935, to renew the proposals early next year, and as regards, the other point, the request for Town Improvement grants is one deserving of sympathetic consideration and every endeavour will be made to provide as much money as possible every year from out of the grants that may be allotted to this District for the improvement of this ancient historic town.

The connected records, with 2 maps are enclosed for kind perusal.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

Misra
DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
Mysore District

ARS

26

Appendix FG—1]

SUBJECT

Section ^{ml} 249-
File No 249-34
Serial No. 8

Diary No of letter replied to

No L 7767

To The Deputy Commr
Mysore Dist

General and Revenue Secretariat

()
the 193

Date of issue 9 4 36.

Signed by M A S

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Sir,
With reference to
your letter no D 3-26
35-31
dated the 13th 14th March
1936 re bifurcation
of the Serinjapatam
Mtlz, I am
directed to state
that Govt are not
in favor of the proposals
for bifurcating the
Mtlz, as they are not
calculated to satisfy
the Serinjapatam

27

Ganjam and the latter will have to start with a deficit

which would only mean that the inhabitants of the place will not be able to get the services they are getting now and that the possibility of contact arising out of such partial bifurcation would be a fruitful source of friction and complaint in the end,

~~I am to state add that the~~
scheme of constituting a Special Committee for Ganjam Sub-township funds exclusively therefor may be tried as suggested by you in the penultimate para of your letter under reply and

2/ I am ^{to} ~~to~~ ^{add} observe that what is really needed is to expedite the water supply scheme of Ganjam and to earmark definite amounts for that place out of any town-improvement grants which may

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

be given to the Municipality
and if these items are
attended to, it will
not be necessary to
reiterate the matter.
The Director of Health is
being separately requested
to take very cognizance
in regard to these items
while submitting proposals
for the distribution of
the provision ^{to be} made
in the State Budget for
water supply schemes in towns
& improvement of towns and
30. per cent for public improvement
from next year onwards
3) The records are being
returned

I have the

huv
L7768 ^{on 7/4} Secy to Govt
d 9 4/36 for D D
Memo

Cy to the
Director of Health

for information necessary
action w.r. to para 2 of the
letter supra

B o

In see to follow

Mo D D

38

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Dated Bangalore 6th April 1936

No L 7767

From

*The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Development Department*

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District

Sir

with reference to your letter No D3-26/35-36 dated the 13/14th March 1936, regarding bifurcation of the Beringapatam Town Municipality, I am directed to state that Government are not in favour of the proposals for bifurcating the Municipality, as they are not calculated to satisfy either Beringapatam or Ganjam and the latter will have to start with a deficit which would only mean that the inhabitants of the place will not be able to get the services they are getting now and that the points of contact arising out of such partial bifurcation would be a fruitful source of friction and complaint. In the circumstances, the scheme of constituting a Special Committee for Ganjam and allotting funds exclusively therefor may be tried as suggested by you in the penultimate para of your letter under reply.

2 I am to add that what is really needed is to expedite the water supply scheme of Ganjam and to earmark definite amounts for that place, out of any town improvement grants which may be given to the Municipality, and if these items are attended to, it will not be necessary to bifurcate the Municipality. The Director of Health is being separately requested to take very early action in regard to these items while submitting proposals for the distribution of the provision to be made in the State Budget for water supply schemes in towns and improvement of towns under 30 grants for Public Improvements from next year onwards.

5 The records are herewith returned

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

d/- M Venkatanaranappa,
Secretary to Government,
Development Department

Memo No

L 7768

Dated, Bangalore, 8th April 1936

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Director of Health in Mysore for information and necessary action with reference to para 2 of the letter supra

By Order,

T D J

A 23

for Secretary to Government,
Development Department

